G.R.S IX—5

Previous references

243-16861-5

1927.

(Muzrai)

File No. 488—17

Serial Nos. 1 —10

Later references.

3.8-34 8 10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 10.

Part B for January 23.

SUBJECT

Archagapurusha marigade in the Sri Narayana Swami Temple at Melkote

To.

8(10) The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore Bangalore

No 2026 8 M—C—23

Directs that Arji Narasimhachar be shown the Archaga Purusha marigades in the Temple

Printed on the Multigraph.

26/1/23.

R. S. 11] 1917.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inam)

NOTES.

File No 488/1917

FILE HEADING.

Acharya purusha marigade in the Sri Narayana Swami temple at Melkote.

From

Ayi Sriyavaru of Melkote.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 6. 3 1918 Received

Diary No. 15-V	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commrs. order directing that Acharya purusha marigade be given to Ayi Narasimhachar in preference to the applt in the Sri Narayana Swami Temple.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal is duly stamped and is in time - the Dy Commrs. orgl ent. Convening the order appealed against, is produced A/ off. calling for report with records is submitted for appt.

3.4.1918 4/4/1918

Notes—First Sheet. Appt

6/4/18

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Inwd File No. 488 of 1918.

Serial No.

2. To, the Dy Commr, Mysore Dist.
O. M. no 2652 Dy 16.4.1918
Calls for report with records.
Encl no 2653 Dy 16.4.18.
Intimates the above.

3. From, the Dy Commr, Mysore Dist.
Letter no. 5 Dy 1-8-1918
Submits records connected with the case.

3 The facts of this case gathered from a study of the records, are as noted below:—

4 One Ayi Narasimhachar Son of Ayi Devarajiengar is one of the Archaka-purushas of Melkote temple, getting a pay of Rs 1-8-0 in addition to certain Manigas.

5 The late Sub Dist Officer of Pandavapura while granting Narasimhachar request to take work from his Gumastha Aiyangar Narasimhachar during the Varamdar's absence, and to pay the perquisites to the Gumastha, concurred in the views of the Dy Amildar that a Gumastha could not get the Manigas, and that the Sanad did not confer support it.

(Eq A)

6 Ayi Singlachar Son of Ayi

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

ಹುಣ File No. 488 of 1917.

Serial No.

Venkatachar – a cousin of Ayi Nar (ಅಯಿ ನಾರ್) – petr the temple authorities claiming the Acharyapurusha mariyade in question, and the other perquisities during the Karanavaddar's absence. It is admitted that Ayi Narasimhachar descends from the elder branch of the family. Singlachar calls Narasimhachan as his uncle (ನರಸಿಂಹಚಾರ್ ಸಿಂಗಚಾರಿಯವರ ಚಿಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ) while the latter calls the former as his son (ಸಿಂಗಚಾರಿಯವರು ನರಸಿಂಹಚಾರ್ ಅವರ ಮಗ). A Mahazar was drawn up on 26-8-1915 stating that there was no objection to show the mariyade to Singlachar, and the Karanavaddar has stated in his deposition dy 30-9-1915 that the mariyade might be shown to the petr during his absence.

On a reference from the Dy. Amildar, the Dharmadarsis having made a sweeping statement that as Narasimhachar's cousin, and a latter to the family, the petr was entitled under Hindu Law to get the Acharya purusha mariyade, the Dy. Amildar submitted a report for orders of the Sub Divl Officer.

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Huz File No. 488 of 1917.

Serial No.

The Sub Divl officer Mr B.C. observed that any person doing the duties other than the hereditary was a 'Gumastha', that Gumasthas were not entitled to Marigade according to the Sanad, and called for a further report with the views of the Dharmadarsis.

The Dharmadarsis (Pandit Srah Kuppanniengar and 3 others on a discussion of the subject, have given it as their opinion that Singlachar should be treated as a Gumastha and as such, cannot claim the Marigade.

The Sub Divl Offr has accordingly directed that Singlachar has no claim to the Marigade, and the Dy. Commr agrees with him.

Against the foregoing order, Singlachar has appealed to Govt.—

The question at issue in this case was raised in another Archakapurustha case of the same Temple, and the Dy. Secretary

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60 000—20 3 '8—S

III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Hmz. File No. 488 of 1917.

Serial No.

has been asked to obtain the views of the Dharmadarsis and send up the same for perusal. Submitted. ~~Hard case proceedings may be accepted and this case may then be posted for orders~~

M
14.8.18

RA
15.8.18

The opinion of the Dharmadarsis is against the contention of the appellant. The SDO agreeing with the opinion has refused the honour to appt the DC has said there are no reasons alleged to interfere with the SDOs order. — May this case be posted for hearing?

Addl-engrg is absl.

RB
19/8/18

RB
18/3/19

4 No 2849 Dy 20.8.19. To D.C. Mysore. Requested whether all the Srivaishnava ~~applications~~ ~~Engineer~~ denied representation by Govt

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No.	
	and if some of them are permitted to be represented ... Gumastas whether there is any difference between the Samad of the Aji family and those of the Acharya Purushas
5	Trans, me Dy Commn, my Sme Dts 11, Letter no. 556 Dy 12 12 19 Submits further information called for in Sl 4.
	15 A copy of Acharyapurusha list of 1896 pertaining to the Melkote temple is in the Vern records.
	16 The Dy. Amildar reports that Gumastas of Some of the Grihasta Acharyapurushas absent from the place, receiving mariyade, that as Mula Acharyapurushas are receiving mariyade as per manual, no Samad or other document is given to them, and that even if some of them obtained Samads, as they are absent from the place and as their whereabouts are not known, it is not possible to get Samads from them and find out the difference- Submits for orders
	M.J. A personal hearing of the parties
	5.1.1920.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 488. of 1917.

Serial No.

parties and a perusal of the documents in support of the contention of the appl will perhaps be nec. - may the case be posted for hearing?

RH
6.1.20

18 Submit with a Dft enquiry as directed

WW 28.2.20 RH

28/2/20 19 For orders

AHP 1.3.20

Dorsey)

1/—

.1.20 Title was Commendable Early in Examg the Case

Case may be

W/ 2/3/20

AHP 2.3.20

Cal. to Mysore, mis. ca -

Ltr 7. From, the Dy. Com^r, Mysore Dist
Letter No. De. 586 dt 15.4.20.
Submits further report with records called for in the ca..

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-5 18—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwd File No. 488 of 1917

Serial No.

As regards the monies raised in Sl. 6, the Dy. Com^r reports that Ayi family is enjoying the Archanyapuruska marigade from time immemorial, that no Sanad is forthcoming with them in respect of this claim and that the other Cadjas called for are not also forthcoming.

12. Ayi Narasimhachar — the opponent — states that the apltt Ayi Singlachar belongs to his family, that though the charanam stands in the his (opponent's) name, the marigade may be shown to the apltt during his absence at the place, not as his Gumastha but as a rightful claimant of the Ayi family, and the these marigades may be shown to him in the absence of any other elders of the family at the temple at the time.

13. The Sub Divl Officer recommends that as Singlachar the apltt belongs to the family, and as Narasimhachar has no objn.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 488 of 1917

Serial No.

the marriage being shown Singlachan, orders may be issued accordingly.

24. The Hy. Comr has not given his opinion on this point. Submitted for orders

21.7.22

21.7.22

25. [illegible]

26. [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus2 File No. 488 of 1917

Serial No.

28. It is true that appellant asks to that he may be [illegible] the Magistrate in Guernsey in the absence of his Jurats who are his Assessors and Respondent has no objection & it being proper & desirable that Jurats should sit in Appeals where they have advised in the matter appealed against, I see no objection to the Jurats sitting in this case.

29. As Bail is put up & Appellant is likely to be kept in prison for some time if his appeal be dismissed I think that it would be desirable that it should be heard at the Assizes next [illegible] 2/7/22

1010-470
29.7.22

30. There does not appear to be any disagreement on the point of law that seems called for a reference as a starting point for understanding. Is there any reason why it

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mercy File No. 488 of 19 22

Serial No.

Shd not be done There is no reco
to call for a list of names, which may
be neither accurate nor exhaustive?

Reel
31 7 22 W 1/8/22

AV 31. Subj to the recipt orders.
BL
1/8/22

32. Some Mem may have
up and claim to see appellants'
petn & Memy; and appellant may
or may not grant the petitioner
and the Memorialists the Counsel
suggested may prevent future
trouble.
First Member
W 5/8/22

1220-160
8.8 22

33. D. No objection. Action may be taken accordg

Reel
9.8 22 W 9/8/22

34. may the Govt. appeal be rejected?
BL
9.8.22 35. Yes W 10/8/22

NOTE —Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 488 of 1917

Serial No.	
8	No 736 dated 12-8-22 To. The D.C. Mysore Requested to report the name of person to whom the madyade hereto be made during the absence of the appt
9	From the Hon. Comm. No. C 512 of 2.11.22. Submits further report in the appeal petition of Ayi Singla Char claiming Acharya purusha Manigade in the Sri Narasimha Swamy temple at Melkote — 36. The Names of the 3 Seniors of the apltt Ayi Singlacharu whose presence would entitle the apltt to the Acharya purusha Manigade, are noted below: — (1) Ayi Narasimhachar Son of Ayi Singlacharu, uncle of the apltt - elder member of the family. (2) Ayi Narasimhachar Son of Devarajiengar, ~~also uncle of~~ the apltt - next junior member (3) Ayi Narasimhachar Son of-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 486 of 1917

Serial No.

Agi Venkatachari - next junior member.

37. The appt also states that when all or any of the 11 members of her family including himself, should be present at the temple the arrangements be shown & the eldest member present, and in the absence of any senior member, & the next junior present at the temple at the time.

Enclosure for order with reference to paras 30 to 32 supra.

MR
5-11-22

ED
7.11.22

Put up a draft order
MR 11/11/22

Please put up a note to the appellant inviting

ED
20.11.22

I think it is better that we consult Mr Gopal Venkata Rao before taking further action.
MR 20/11/22

Buried in file No. 2
Search by [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 488 of 19 21

Serial No.

Mr Koopil Venkatachari waited upon the Secretary & Revision Dy. Secretary & Director.

Dy 5/12/22

First Member 5/12/22

4803-295
9.12.22

I presume that it is not repugnant to approved custom to have marriage done who may happen to be a temple servant also at the same time.

N.B This point is not clear from the notes in the file.

Asst 11-12-22

AV 11/12/22

Dy 11/12/22

Temple Peshkar's Report no. 158 dy 16.12.22)

It is understood that Archaya purushas are also temple servants and that it is not repugnant to approved custom to show marigade to them if they are present at the time along with others.

Secretary

Dy 20/12/22

First Member 21/12/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.	
	5'209-701 28.12.22.
	Don as proposed Reed - 29.12.22
10	Dr. 2026 dt 11/1/23 to the Asst. Comm. Sriks Chal. Ayi Narasimha Char he shown the Archaeological Museum

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GEN & REV VII MUZRAI 145
9373 Rem Mem 118
7/3/18
VII 1527
17 18
Muz
488-17
1

Before the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Department -

Muzrai appeal No 488 of 17-18.

Appellant -

Ayi Singlachar, son of Venkatachar residing in Melkote, French Rocks Sub-division.

2 Re Hqrs Ends 2 date 9.3.18

The Appellant begs to submit the following: -

The appellant's family as the Aryinger family, and this family has been enjoying the privileges (Mogasa) incidental to the Archakaswamithip in the temple of Sri Narayanaswamy, Melkote in immemorial.

The appellant and one Ayi Iyengar Narasimhachar, son of Varamangar are the two members representing the two surviving branches of the above said [illegible]. The appellant was residing in Venkatakote for some time, and for the last years he has been residing in Melkote the above said Narasimachar was residing in Melkote, but from the last few years he has been residing in Bangalore

Narasimha are
the Village of Gummanalli, and
they bear the expenses of a worship
known as Varumanjakam utsavam
5 days to one of 5 evenings between
by the appellant's family
in the aforesaid temple in equal
halves
The practice prevalent among the
Archagurukkals is that when a
senior member family is
present at the time when the
prasadam is to be received he will
receive it in preference to the
other members of family who are
present in all.

The above said Narasimhachar
was thus enjoying the prasadam whenever
he was present in the temple, but
as he ceased to reside in Melkote
and as the appellant who is a member
of the same family and thus entitled to
enjoy the prasadam, the appellant put
forward his claims to receive the
prasadam in the absence of the afore-
said Narasimhachar, and the
said Narasimhachar also gave a
statement to the Archakar of the
temple that the appellant may
be allowed to enjoy the prasadam in
his absence, as the appellant was a
member of the same family. The
Archakar reported the same to the
Taluk Amildar who also agreed
to the arrangement, but the French
Rocks Subdivision Officer refused the

Notified
22-12-19

a dasis of the
raising it as
an analogous
that of the appointment of a
Gumasta the Hakdar disapproves
of it, and the Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District has confirmed
the said order. The petitioner a
the government for the cancellation
of the order of the deputy commissioner
but he was directed to prefer appeal
against it. Hence this appeal against
the order of the Deputy Commissioner.

The petitioner begs to submit that the
matter referred to the opinion of the
Shanumadars does not fall
within the scope of their powers, as
that does not affect the administration
of the temple or otherwise and that their
view is

The appellant submits that as he
is a member of the family to which the
Archagarship which belongs he can
enjoy the privileges in the absence
of the senior member of the family,
who moreover has no objection to
his doing so. The appellant therefore
respectfully prays that the order
of the Deputy Commissioner may
be set aside.

Bangalore 25th Oct 1913

ದಿ.ಬಿ.ಸಂ. ಸಂ. Welfare/Land

1

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ವಿನಯಪೂರ್ವಕ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು

ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಸುಮಾರು ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ದೂರದ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿ

ನಾನು ಕೃಷಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು ಬಹಳ ಬಡವನಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಡುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳು

ನನಗೆ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ದೊರೆತಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ

ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಡುವ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನನಗೂ

ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ

ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಡುವ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳ ವಿವರವನ್ನು ಈ

ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ

ಕೆಳಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 187 ನೇ ಸೂಚನೆಯಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ

ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಕೃಪೆಯಿಟ್ಟು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ

ನನಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಡುವ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು

ಆದಷ್ಟು ಬೇಗ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ನಿಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

8.12.17

ಇಂತಿ ವಿಧೇಯ

1129/
16.1.18

మ్యూజియంసంస్థాపనము జరాయించుట
విషయంపై చర్చించుటకు అభిప్రాయించింది

మేజలుకోల్పే శ్రీలంచర్చిలోపల్పే
సభ్యులు ఆచార్యులు అంతి శాంగ్రాచారి
గారు.

ఇండికార్పేంట్

మేజలుకోల్పే సభ్యులు సమావేశమై ఆచార్యులు మ్యూజియమ్ సంస్థాపన
పథకమునకు సంబంధించిన అన్ని విషయములు చర్చించి అవసరమైన
రుక్తాకొండు చర్యలు నిర్ణయించినికొంది
10-1-1918 నాడు మళ్ళీ 17 నాడు సంబంధ అధికారులు
సమావేశమయ్యారు

ఈ విచారణ సమావేశానికి అనుమతులు
లు వారిరువు అధికారులు కాపియన్సు పత్రములు
దు లాంటి మ్యూజియంకు సంబంధించిన అంశాలు మాట్లాడి
కొంటారు.

చేంగల్పట్టు
15-1-1918

Superintendent.

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No [illegible] dated 16/4/18.

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 1527 dated 6-3-1918

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Ayi Singlae of Melkote appealing against the Dy. Commr's. order directing that Acharya Purusha be shown to Ayi Narasimhachar in preference to the apltt, in the Sri Narayana Swami Temple at Melkote,

is forwarded, together with its (2) enclosures,

to the Dy. Commr, Mysore Dist.

for favour of report with records.

By Order,

[signature]

Secretary

Gen. & Rev. Dept II.

BANGALORE, 4/4/18

191 .

P T O

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 6. 3. 1918

[illegible]

[illegible]

By Order,

D(y) Joint Secretary

[illegible] Dept II

W.D. 0244—GPB—6,00,000—1915-16—S.

MARY No. 322

18 19
Mesr
488-18
3.

From 191 8-19

The Deputy Commissioner,
Lyallpur District.

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt.
Punjab Department,
Lahore.

Dated ~~January~~ 1-8- 10795

Despatched 1—8—18

Received

General No. [illegible]
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits records with reference to petition of Abdur [illegible] praying to be given the Ayi Mahal [illegible] on the Jhang Branch [illegible] Railway.

93

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, ~~October~~ 1st August 191c.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

S. V1—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....322.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191 .

Date of issue.....20.....3.....1919.....

Signed by.....

Section .....
File No. .....
Serial No. .....

No. 2849/Endorsements

To

The Dy. Commr,
Mysore Dist,

Sir,

Adverting to your letter
No 322 dy 1st August 918
reporting on the petition of Aji
Singlachar of Melkote appealing
against the Dy. Commissioners
directing that Acharyapurusha
Narigade be shown to Aji
Narasimhachar in preference
to the appt in the Sri Narayana

over.

at Melkote, I am directed to enquire whether all the ~~three~~ Grihasta Acharyapurushas of the Temple are denied representation by Sumaithi, and if some of them are permitted the representation by proxy whether there is any ~~and that~~ difference ~~there is~~ between the Samasthanam of the Brahmin family and those of the three Acharyapurushas.

(2) The records are herewith returned for ref. & necessary

Thanking you
Sir
I am Sir
Your obedient servant
Joint Secretary
Rel. Endt.

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

09 20
Mar.
4886/17
5

26

WD 0468—GP[redacted]8,00,000—21-7-17—S.

15543 1919-20.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Omalur Dehartu
Myore Dist

To

The Secretary to the Govern-
of this Department the Omalur
Dist, Omalur Depā

Dated 10th December 19 Bangalore

Despatched 12th December 19

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting further in-
-formation re the Acharya
-formation marriage at the
Sri Narayanaswami Temple at
Melkote.

27

R.P.A. No.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore, the 10th December 1919.

From

F. P. Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

T.L.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2949/Muz.498-17-4.dated the 20th March 1919, calling for further information re- Acharyapurusha maryade in the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote, I have the honour state that it is seen from the Amildar's report and a copy of the list in the file (herewith submitted) showing the Acharyapurushamaryades (?) that some of Grihasthasrama Acharyapurushas are represented by gumasthas As regards difference between the sannad of Ayi family and those of the other Acharyapurushas, it is reported that the local Acharyapurushas do not possess any Sannad and it is not therefore possible to find out the difference, if any.

The Vernacular papers are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Puttaraja Iyengar

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

S. VI—G/32]

28

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, the 191

Date of issue 27-3-20

Signed by .....

Section Inam
File No. 488 17
Serial No. 6

No. 32-3 Inam-Confd

To

The Dy. Commr,
Mysore Dist,

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 556 dt 12th December 1919 reporting on the appeal petition of Singlachar claiming Archakaship in the Sri Narayana Swami Temple at Melkote, during the absence of the Karanvedar Sri Narasimhachar, I am directed to state request from

to be informed whether
the Ayi family is enjoying
the Archanyapurusha
marigade under the authority
of a Sanad and if so,
the Sanad may be
forwarded for reference.
In Dy. Amildar's report
no. 97 dt 6-11-1919,
it is stated that some
Grihasta Archanyapurusha
have obtained Sanads.
If so, one or two Sanads
containing a direction
that the marigadas
might be shown to
Sumasthas might be
obtained and forward.
A copy of the Dakla
of Vibhava Samvatsara
regarding the said marigade
which is referred to in Dy.
Amildar's report no 66
dt 16th Oct 1919 may also
be kindly be sent up
for ref.
(2) The records are herewith
returned for ref. of M. Dhn

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Thanks etc

Secretary

W. & T. 1744—GPB—3,000—19-3-19

22-23
Jmuz
4888-77-1

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.
Muzarai Department

Dated 18th July 1911

Despatched 18th July 1911

Received

General No, M.A.C 556/18 19 Muzg
No.

Enclosure No Nil.

Subject

Submits a detailed report with records regarding Achary purusha mariyade in Melko Temple. —

No. A.C 556/18 19. May.

Rec. file 1 OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

31 MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore, ... 6th ..... June *1922*

From

P. A. Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.*
Muzrai Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.3228 Muz.488-17-6 dated the 27th March 1920 calling for certain information regarding Acharya Purushamaryade in the Melkote temple, I have the honor to submit herewith, French Rocks Deputy Amildar's detailed report No 148 dated 8th May 1922 (received through the Sub Division Officer) furnishing information on all the points referred to in your letter.

It is seen therefrom that the Ayi family is enjoying the Acharya purusha mariyade from time immemorial, and that no sannad is forth coming with them in respect of this claim. The other Dakhalas called for in your letter are not also procurable, as it is reported that the baravardar Acharya Purushas are not residing in Melkote and their whereabouts are not clearly known, and a few Acharya Purushas that reside in Melkote have no such sannads or any other Dakalas with them in respect of the enjoyment of these Mariyades.

But Ayi Narasimha Char, uncle of the appeal petitioner Ayi Singla Char, has in his statement before the Deputy Amildar French Rocks, admitted on 12th March 21 that the latter i.e. Ayi Singlachar also belongs to his family that though the baravara stands in his (Ayi Narasimha char's) name, the appeal petition is entitled to receive the Acharya Purusha Mariyade during his absence at the place, not as a gumasta on his behalf but as a rightful claimant of the Ayi family and that these mariyades may be shown to him in the absence of any other elders of the family at the temple at the time. (Flag A)

The entire records ending with French Rocks Sub Division Officer's yadast No.R.H.C.1077/19-20 dated 19/20th May 1922 are submitted herewith for reference and orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

General Asstt. 17/7

For Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 295

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 12/8/22

Signed by

Section

File No. 488

Serial No. 98

No. 736

To

Sir,

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

35 C 572 of 22-23

1922 2-11-22

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore

Dated
Despatched } 28th October 22

Received Regd post 1st Nov 22

General No.

No.

Mur-488-17-9

Enclosure No

Subject

Submits further report on the appeal petition of [illegible] Singh & others claiming certain [illegible] Shankarappa in the [illegible] village

21525

Mur. Dn. NOV 1922

REV. SECTT. 1126 MYSORE 22-23

N Dis one 572 of 22-23 6/11/22

Forwarded with compliments, to the Secretary to Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department

Rural Commissioner.

AV 7/11/22

No

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore,.....25th. October.. *1922*

From

P.R.Srinivasa Murthy,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

Iyer, Esq. B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Revenue Department.

SIR, Through

The Huzur Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to the petition for in your letter No.736/Huz 483-17 dated 12th November 1922, I have the honor to furnish in the margin here-of, the names of the 3 seniors.

1. Srinivasa Iyengar (son of [illegible], uncle of the appellant, resident of Melkote).
2. [illegible] (son of [illegible], uncle of the appellant, resident of Melkote).
3. [illegible] (son of [illegible], uncle of the appellant, resident of Melkote).

The appellant's father Narasimhachar, whose presence in the temple would entitle the appellant to the Acharya Purusha Mariyadai in the Sri Ramanuja temple at Melkote.

The appellant has given a statement to the effect that one or two of the other members of his family that is, would be present at the temple, i.e. Parivade Narasimhachar to the eldest member present, in the absence of the appellant's father to the next junior present in the temple at the time. A petition to this effect is enclosed (Flag A).

I have also the record relating to the Police Officer's report No. C.M. .1077/17-20 dated 13/14th October 1922 submitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 14-12-22

Signed by Ur B. Ramaiya

Section

File No.

Serial No

Immediate

No. 1906.

To

Dft. by the Peskar is submitted, as directed.

AJ 14.12.22.

14/12/22

over.



List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No. 1904 Dt. 14-12-22

71 131

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No. 15

253.6

16.12.22,

SUBJECT..... .....

....

... .

Diary No. of letter replied to..... 126

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Date of issue.... 11/1/23

Signed by Sri TEgtmyar

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Petition regd. no 1527
by 6th March 1916 from
Sri Sanglachar son of
V. Venkatachar appealing
against the Dy. Commr's
direction that Bhagya
Purusha Inamdar on the
Sri Ranganatha Swami
Order Thereon No.
temple as mentioned
by the Asst Commr
Chitaldrug Division
as the said duty should
be performed
by the Inamdar

May this be

i Printed ? Yes

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Correspondence ending with
letter No. 556 dy 28-10-22
from the Dy. Comr,
Bellary forwarding report
on the subject
under Recon. No 2026
Mucr 488-17-10
dy 11.1.23

Byi Narasimhachar son
of Byi Devarajiengar is
one of the Archakas
of the Narayana Swami
temple at Melkote.
His grandfather Byi Sengalachar,
who died before four years,
enjoyed the hereditary ... List of enclosures.
that is the absence of the
karamaddar, he must be
shown the margin
the following duties ... Dy: Comr agreeing with the
... directed that the
any person doing
the duties other than
the karamaddar must be punished as
his sirna etha agitate

Copied by
Examined by

WD 1883—GPB—1,000,00—21-12-21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

The Jumaathas who get perquisites, cannot also claim the manigaaro of the office. Against this order, Byi Singlachaar has appealed to Govt. All that he has asked for is that he may be shown the manigaaro in question in the absence of the karavaaddaa and his (appellant's) three senior gnaaths, and the respt. Byi Narasinhachaar has no objection to it.

In these circumstances, Govt are pleased to direct that in the absence of the karavaaddaa and the senior gnaaths of the aphi the latter Byi ~~Narasinhachaar~~ Singlachaar be shown the Acharaaye pumeeha in the la-

Shri Narayana Iyer
Esq

5/12/22

Rec - Secretary

29

5/12/22

29.12.22